



Foreign bodies in the gastrointestinal tract: a review of representative cases

Poster No.: C-1714

Congress: ECR 2012

Type: Educational Exhibit

Authors: S. R. Mendes¹, P. Belo Soares², C. Antunes², <u>L. Andrade</u>², F.

Caseiro Alves²; ¹Matosinhos/PT, ²Coimbra/PT

Keywords: Foreign bodies, Complications, Ultrasound, Plain radiographic

studies, CT, Gastrointestinal tract

DOI: 10.1594/ecr2012/C-1714

Any information contained in this pdf file is automatically generated from digital material submitted to EPOS by third parties in the form of scientific presentations. References to any names, marks, products, or services of third parties or hypertext links to third-party sites or information are provided solely as a convenience to you and do not in any way constitute or imply ECR's endorsement, sponsorship or recommendation of the third party, information, product or service. ECR is not responsible for the content of these pages and does not make any representations regarding the content or accuracy of material in this file.

As per copyright regulations, any unauthorised use of the material or parts thereof as well as commercial reproduction or multiple distribution by any traditional or electronically based reproduction/publication method ist strictly prohibited.

You agree to defend, indemnify, and hold ECR harmless from and against any and all claims, damages, costs, and expenses, including attorneys' fees, arising from or related to your use of these pages.

Please note: Links to movies, ppt slideshows and any other multimedia files are not available in the pdf version of presentations.

www.myESR.org

Learning objectives

- To illustrate the spectrum of imaging findings resultant of foreign bodies (FB) in the gastrointestinal tract on the several imaging modalities, namely conventional radiology, ultrasound (US) and computed tomography (CT).
- To discuss advantages of imaging methods.



Fig. 1: References: S. R. Mendes; Radiology, Hospitais da Universidade de Coimbra, Coimbra, PORTUGAL

Background

- FB are uncommon findings but remain an important reason for attendance at emergency department.
- FB may be ingested, inserted into a body cavity or deposited into the body by a traumatic or iatrogenic injury. Most of them pass uneventfully or represent only mild mucosa injury. Nevertheless, perforation, bowel obstruction, fistula, bleeding, abscess formation and peritonitis may occur, depending on the type and size of the object.
- Accidental insertion occurs mainly in elderly, pediatric population, persons with decreased palatal sensitivity, patients with psychiatric disorders and drug addicts, whereas intentional episodes usually occur in mentally handicapped, drug traffickers and prisoners.
- Because many patients who have swallowed FB without knowledge when symptoms occur, physicians must maintain a high index of suspicion.
- Radiologists have a very important role in revealing the presence, dimension, nature and relationship with anatomic structures of a FB, thus enabling the best therapeutic choice.

Background

FB are uncommon findings but remain an important reason for attendance at emergency department.

FB may be ingested, inserted into a body cavity or deposited into the body by a traumatic or iatrogenic injury. Most of them pass uneventfully or represent only mild mucosa injury. Nevertheless, perforation, bowel obstruction, fistula, bleeding, abscess formation and peritonitis may occur, depending on the type and size of the object.

Accidental insertion occurs mainly in elderly, pediatric population, persons with decreased palatal sensitivity, patients with psychiatric disorders and drug addicts, whereas intentional episodes usually occur in mentally handicapped, drug traffickers and prisoners.

•

Fig. 2: References: S. R. Mendes; Radiology, Hospitais da Universidade de Coimbra, Coimbra, PORTUGAL

Because many patients who have swallowed FB without knowledge when symptoms occur, physicians must maintain a high index of suspicion. Radiologists have a very important role in revealing the presence, dimension, nature and relationship with anatomic structures of a FB, thus enabling the best therapeutic choice.

Fig. 3: References: S. R. Mendes; Radiology, Hospitais da Universidade de Coimbra, Coimbra, PORTUGAL

Imaging findings OR Procedure details

Using iconographic material from our department of the last 10 years, we illustrated and collected the plain abdominal radiography, US and abdominopelvic CT, showing various complications of FB.

We have made a selection of the cases according to the rarity of the diagnosis or the complications caused.

Our hospital medical records were screened retrospectively and the FB were classified according to the mode of introduction:

- Ingestion;
- Insertion (transrectal);
- latrogenic.

FB ingestion

Incidence

The reported incidence of FB causing perforation of the gastrointestinal tract is less than 1%, with the objects being elongated or sharp in most of the cases, such as toothpicks, pins, fish or chicken bones.

Complications

- Mechanical obstruction FB frequently lodge at areas of anatomical narrowing, physiological angulation or areas of pathological structures. Ingested objects are usually elongated and became trapped in the duodenum, appendix or ileocecal valve. Spherical or cylindrical objects tend to be large and impact in the esophagus or pylorus.
- Perforation The most common sites for perforation are the lower esophagus, duodenal loop, appendix, ileocecal valve and the recto-sigmoid region.
- Fistula, abscess, peritonitis or generalized septicemia usually as a result of perforation.

Diagnosis

For the study of FB in gastrointestinal tract it is very important to select the most appropriate imaging modality.

- Radiograph detects as much as 80% of all FB. Objects of metal (except aluminum), most animal bones and glass are opaque on radiographs, unlike fish bones. Objects composed of plastic and most fish bones are radiolucent structures and their diagnosis may be challenging.
- US is the method of choice in the diagnosis of a FB that migrated from the gastrointestinal tract and retained in the soft tissues.
- CT scanning is helpful as it identifying a high density FB and its complications. The region of perforation may appear as a thickened local segment, associated

with localized pneumoperitoneum, regional fatty infiltration and eventually associated intestinal obstruction.

FB insertion

Voluntarily inserted FB into the various orifices can be due to several reasons including sexual pleasure or curiosity. Typical locations include the rectum, nose, genitourinary tract and ear.

Introduction into the rectum may be through self-insertion and imaging modalities are fundamental in evaluating the location, size of the FB and possible complications. The plain pelvic radiograph is simple, accessible and easily repeatable.

latrogenic procedures

Numerous medical devices are found in everyday practice by almost all radiologists. Some, are used to monitor a patient's condition others have therapeutic uses.

The most suitable method of removing the FB depends on the size and mobility of the object applied and associated complications. When possible, endoscopic and minimal invasive techniques of removal should be used.

Imaging Findings OR Procedure Details

Using iconographic material from our department of the last 10 years, we illustrated and collected the plain abdominal radiography, US and abdominopelvic CT, showing various complications of FB.

We have made a selection of the cases according to the rarity of the diagnosis or the complications caused.

Our hospital medical records were screened retrospectively and the FB were classified according to the mode of introduction:

- Ingestion;
- Insertion (transrectal);
- latrogenic.

4. References: S. R. Mendes: Radiology. Hospitais da Universidade de Coimbra

Fig. 4: References: S. R. Mendes; Radiology, Hospitais da Universidade de Coimbra, Coimbra, PORTUGAL

FB ingestion

Incidence

The reported incidence of FB causing perforation of the gastrointestinal tract is less than 1%, with the objects being elongated or sharp in most of the cases, such as toothpicks, pins, fish or chicken bones.

Complications

- Mechanical obstruction FB frequently lodge at areas of anatomical narrowing, physiological angulation or areas of pathological structures. Ingested objects are usually elongated and became trapped in the duodenum, appendix or ileocecal valve. Spherical or cylindrical objects tend to be large and impact in the esophagus or pylorus.
- Perforation The most common sites for perforation are the lower esophagus, duodenal loop, appendix, ileocecal valve and the rectosigmoid region.
- Fistula, abscess, peritonitis or generalized septicemia usually as a result of perforation

Fig. 5: References: S. R. Mendes; Radiology, Hospitais da Universidade de Coimbra, Coimbra, PORTUGAL

FB ingestion

Diagnosis

For the study of FB in gastrointestinal tract it is very important to select the most appropriate imaging modality.

- Radiograph detects as much as 80% of all FB. Objects of metal (except aluminum), most animal bones and glass are opaque on radiographs, unlike fish bones. Objects composed of plastic and most fish bones are radiolucent structures and their diagnosis may be challenging.
- > **US** is the method of choice in the diagnosis of a FB that migrated from the gastrointestinal tract and retained in the soft tissues.
- CT scanning is helpful as it identifying a high density FB and its complications. The region of perforation may appear as a thickened local segment, associated with localized pneumoperitoneum, regional fatty infiltration and eventually associated intestinal obstruction.

Fig. 6: References: S. R. Mendes; Radiology, Hospitais da Universidade de Coimbra, Coimbra, PORTUGAL




Fig. 7: References: S. R. Mendes; Radiology, Hospitais da Universidade de Coimbra, Coimbra, PORTUGAL



Fig. 8: References: S. R. Mendes; Radiology, Hospitais da Universidade de Coimbra, Coimbra, PORTUGAL



Fig. 9: References: S. R. Mendes; Radiology, Hospitais da Universidade de Coimbra, Coimbra, PORTUGAL



Fig. 10: References: S. R. Mendes; Radiology, Hospitais da Universidade de Coimbra, Coimbra, PORTUGAL




Fig. 11: References: S. R. Mendes; Radiology, Hospitais da Universidade de Coimbra, Coimbra, PORTUGAL




Fig.: 6 a, b) Abdominopelvic CT images – Fish bone between D1 and the underside of the liver, without evidence of perforation. A hepatic abscess secondary to fish bone penetration of the gastric wall. Surgical drainage of abscess.

Fig. 12: References: S. R. Mendes; Radiology, Hospitais da Universidade de Coimbra, Coimbra, PORTUGAL



Fig. 13: References: S. R. Mendes; Radiology, Hospitais da Universidade de Coimbra, Coimbra, PORTUGAL



Fig.: 8) Radiograph -Batteries and other alongated metallic FB in the gastrointestinal tract, ingested by prisoner to leave jail.

Fig. 14: References: S. R. Mendes; Radiology, Hospitais da Universidade de Coimbra, Coimbra, PORTUGAL




Fig. 15: References: S. R. Mendes; Radiology, Hospitais da Universidade de Coimbra, Coimbra, PORTUGAL



Fig. 16: References: S. R. Mendes; Radiology, Hospitais da Universidade de Coimbra, Coimbra, PORTUGAL




Fig. 17: References: S. R. Mendes; Radiology, Hospitais da Universidade de Coimbra, Coimbra, PORTUGAL

FB insertion Voluntarily inserted FB into the various orifices can be due to several reasons including sexual pleasure or curiosity. Typical locations include the rectum, nose, genitourinary tract and ear. Introduction into the rectum may be through self-insertion and imaging modalities are fundamental in evaluating the location, size of the FB and possible complications. The plain pelvic radiograph is simple, accessible and easily repeatable.

Fig. 18: References: S. R. Mendes; Radiology, Hospitais da Universidade de Coimbra, Coimbra, PORTUGAL



Fig. 19: References: S. R. Mendes; Radiology, Hospitais da Universidade de Coimbra, Coimbra, PORTUGAL




Fig. 20: References: S. R. Mendes; Radiology, Hospitais da Universidade de Coimbra, Coimbra, PORTUGAL

Numerous medical devices are found in everyday practice by almost all radiologists. Some, are used to monitor a patient's condition others have therapeutic uses. The most suitable method of removing the FB depends on the size and mobility of the object applied and associated complications. When possible, endoscopic and minimal invasive techniques of removal should be used.

Fig. 21: References: S. R. Mendes; Radiology, Hospitais da Universidade de Coimbra, Coimbra, PORTUGAL




Fig. 22: References: S. R. Mendes; Radiology, Hospitais da Universidade de Coimbra, Coimbra, PORTUGAL




Fig. 23: References: S. R. Mendes; Radiology, Hospitais da Universidade de Coimbra, Coimbra, PORTUGAL

Conclusion

Imaging methods are of paramount importance in identifying and locating the foreign bodies in the gastrointestinal tract, allowing selecting the best therapeutic option, namely conservative, endoscopic, laparoscopic or open surgical methodologies.

It is very important for any radiologist to be aware of the typical imaging findings of foreign bodies to select the most appropriate imaging modality for their detection, depending on their nature.

Images for this section:

Conclusion

Imaging methods are of paramount importance in identifying and locating the foreign bodies in the gastrointestinal tract, allowing selecting the best therapeutic option, namely conservative, endoscopic, laparoscopic or open surgical methodologies.

It is very important for any radiologist to be aware of the typical imaging findings of foreign bodies to select the most appropriate imaging modality for their detection, depending on their nature.

Fig. 24: References: S. R. Mendes; Radiology, Hospitais da Universidade de Coimbra, Coimbra, PORTUGAL

Personal Information

Sónia Raquel Mendes (soniaraquel23@gmail.com) Department of Radiology University Hospital of Coimbra Portugal




Fig. 25: References: S. R. Mendes; Radiology, Hospitais da Universidade de Coimbra, Coimbra, PORTUGAL

References

- Hunter, Tim B.; Taljanovic, Mihra S.; Foreign Bodies. RadioGraphics 2003; 23:731-757
- Ward, Michael A.; Tews, Matthew C.; Small bowel perforation secondary to fish bone ingestion managed non-operatively; The Journal of Emergency Medicine, 2010
- Tupesis, J. P.; Kaminski, A.; Patel, H.; Howes, H.; A penny for your thoughts: small bowel obstruction secondary to coin ingestion; The Journal of Emergency Medicine, Vol. 27, No. 3, pp. 249-252, 2004
- Chung, Jae Hee; Kim, Jeong Soon; Song, Young Tack; Small Bowel Complication Caused by Magnetic Foreign Body Ingestion of Children: Two Case Reports, Journal of Pediatric Surgery, Vol 38, No 10 (October), 2003: pp 1548-1550
- Boyse, T.; Fessell, D.; Jacobson J.;Lin, J.; Holsbeeck, Hayes, C. US of Soft-Tissue Foreign Bodies and Associated Complications with Surgical Correlation. RadioGraphics, 2001, 21:1251-1256.
- Callegari, L.; Leonarde, A.; Bini, A.; Sabato, C.; Nicotera, P.; Spano, E. et al. Ultrasoundguided removal of foreign bodies: personal experience. European Radiology. 2009, 19(5):1273-1279.
- Peterson, J.; Bancroft, L.; Kransdorf, M.; Wooden foreign bodies: imaging appearance. American Journal of Roentgenology. 2002, 178(3):557-562.
- Orlinsky, M.; Knittel, P.; Feit, T.; Chan, L.; Manadavia, D.- The Comparative Accuracy of Radiolucent Foreign Body Detection Using Ultrasonography. American Journal of Emergency Medicine, 2000, 18(4):401-403.

References

- Hunter, Tim B.; Taljanovic, Mihra S.; Foreign Bodies. RadioGraphics 2003; 23:731–757
- Ward, Michael A.; Tews, Matthew C.; Small bowel perforation secondary to fish bone ingestion managed non-operatively; The Journal of Emergency Medicine, 2010
- Tupesis, J. P.; Kaminski, A.; Patel, H.; Howes, H.; A penny for your thoughts: small bowel obstruction secondary to coin ingestion; The Journal of Emergency Medicine, Vol. 27, No. 3, pp. 249–252, 2004
- Chung, Jae Hee; Kim, Jeong Soon; Song, Young Tack; Small Bowel Complication Caused by Magnetic Foreign Body Ingestion of Children: Two Case Reports, Journal of Pediatric Surgery, Vol 38, No 10 (October), 2003: pp 1548-1550
- Boyse, T.; Fessell, D.; Jacobson J.; Lin, J.; Holsbeeck, Hayes, C. US of Soft-Tissue Foreign Bodies and Associated Complications with Surgical Correlation. RadioGraphics, 2001, 21:1251-1256.
- Callegari, L.; Leonarde, A.; Bini, A.; Sabato, C.; Nicotera, P.; Spano, E. et al. Ultrasoundguided removal of foreign bodies: personal experience. European Radiology. 2009, 19(5):1273-1279.
- Peterson, J.; Bancroft, L.; Kransdorf, M.; Wooden foreign bodies: imaging appearance. American Journal of Roentgenology. 2002, 178(3):557-562.
- Orlinsky, M.; Knittel, P.; Feit, T.; Chan, L.; Manadavia, D.- The Comparative Accuracy of Radiolucent Foreign Body Detection Using Ultrasonography. American Journal of Emergency Medicine, 2000, 18(4):401-403.

Fig. 26: References: S. R. Mendes; Radiology, Hospitais da Universidade de Coimbra, Coimbra, PORTUGAL